

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/750,104	12/29/2000	Samuel N. Zellner	BS00-027	6281	
36192	7590 08/08/2005		EXAMINER		
CANTOR COLBURN LLP			SHERKAT, AREZOO		
BLOOMFIEL	OAD SOUTH D, CT 06002		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2131		

DATE MAILED: 08/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(a)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/750,104	ZELLNER ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Arezoo Sherkat	2131				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 11 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		•				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of						
this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which						
places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or						
(3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the						
following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv	-	e final rejection, whicheve	er is later. In no			
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on) and the appropriate exte	ension fee have			
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37						
CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b)						
above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date						
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS	be lited within the time period set it	orm in 37 CFR 41.37(a).			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered !	hecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			because			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	, , ,				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ampliant Amandment	(DTOL 224)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphant Amendment	. (PTOL-324).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendm	nent canceling			
the non-allowable claim(s).	·	, annoly mod amortan	,on ouncomig			
7. 🛛 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🗌 will not be entered, or b) 🖾 will be entered and an explanation of						
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>31-42,44 and 45</u> .						
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	ut hefere or on the date of filing a l	Notice of Appeal will r	not be entered			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary						
and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing						
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a						
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05) Applicant argues that Vaios can terminate the communication session by authomatically going into sleep which is inconsistant with the limitation of claim 31. However, Examiner responds that as disclosed in Col. 9, lines 1-10, Vaios discloses that the remote individual "places" the system in the sleep mode OR after a certain predetermined period of time lapses, the system automatically goes into sleep mode.

Applicant argues that Kung discloses call from a VOIP device to trigger an event which is not in the same context as the disclosure of the instant application. However, Examiner responds that Kung's motivation for using a call from a VOIP device is to provide for a mechanism to start and/or end the billing procedure/secure trasaction (Col. 30, lines 1-20) which is consistant with Applicant's respond namely, initiating a communication session between the internal computer system and the outside entity through an external computer network.

AYAZ SHEIKH

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100